In the Matter of the Petition

of

MAX GONSENHAUSER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Truck Mileage : Taxes under Article(s) 21 of the Tax Law for the *Ye\(\pi \) Period April, 1969 through September, 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 24th day of September, 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Max Gonsenhauser

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Max Gonsenhauser 1575 Winton Road Rochester, New York 14618

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

24th day of September , 1974

Jene Mack

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MAX GONSENHAUSER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Truck Mileage Taxes under Article(s) 21 Tax Law for the Year(s) Period April, 1969 through September, 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 24th day of September , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Roy Colicchio, Esq.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Roy Colicchio, Esq. Cucci, Welch, Colicchio & Weinstein

300 Central Trust Building 44 Exchange Street, Rochester, N.Y.

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

24th day of September, 1974. Sunaso



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655

MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

Dated:

Albany, New York

September 24, 1974

Mr. Max Gonsenhauser 1575 Winton Road Rochester, New York 14618

Dear Mr. Gonsenhauser:

Please take notice of the of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 30 Days from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MAX GONSENHAUSER

DETERMINATION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Truck Mileage Taxes under Article 21 of the Tax Law for the Period April, 1969 through September, 1970.

Max Gonsenhauser petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in Truck Mileage taxes under Article 21 of the Tax Law for the period April, 1969 through September, 1970. A formal hearing was held at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Rochester, New York, on November 10, 1971, before L. Robert Leisner, Hearing Officer. The taxpayer was represented by Roy Colicchio, Esq., and the Income Tax Bureau was represented by Edward H. Best, Esq., (Alexander Weiss, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Where the taxpayer used his own trucks to transport his livestock from one farm to another or to or from auctions and markets, was he exempt from highway use taxes under section 504 of the Tax Law?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. A Notice of assessment in truck mileage taxes for the period April, 1969 through September, 1970 against Max Gonsenhauser was issued on August 15, 1966, under File No. B-88969. The taxpayer

petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies. The Miscellaneous Tax Bureau disallowed petitioner's claimed exemption, asserting that taxpayer not only raised cattle but he also used his trucks to bring back calves he had purchased, and later to take these animals to market a year or two later when they had matured. The Bureau asserted all the cattle must be bred on the petitioner's farm.

The petitioner keeps about a thousand head of cattle at all times. He bought approximately twenty-five hundred heifers The heifers are raised until maturity when they and cows in 1970. are sold. The petitioner also breeds a large number of cattle and raises them to maturity. The petitioner also developed and operated three dairy herds. Petitioner had two farms in Scottsville, five farms in Bloomfield, one farm in Victor, one farm in Avon, and four farms in Brighton. Petitioner also rented a farm of 230 acres in Victor, and additional acreage elsewhere which amounted to 1,500 acres of rental farms. Between the farms he owned or rented, the petitioner operated about 3,500 or 4,000 acres. Many of the farms in the same townships were contiguous or relatively close to each other and all of the farms were within a 30 or 40 mile circle. The trucks were used by the petitioner to move the cattle from one farm to another, and from one herd to the other and to take the cattle to and from auctions, stockyards, slaughterhouses and

to and from farms of other farmers. The petitioner's trucks were owned and operated by him and were only used to transport cattle. All of the cattle transported were owned by the petitioner. The petitioner was a dairy and cattle farmer.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The petitioner was a farmer within the meaning of section 504 of the Tax Law, operating dairy and beef livestock farms.
- B. The trucks were owned and operated by the petitioner, a farmer, and the trucks were used exclusively in transporting petitioner's own livestock to and from his farms within the terms of section 504 of the Tax Law.
- C. The petitioner's trucks were exempt from the truck mileage tax by reason of section 504 of the Tax Law.
- D. There is no deficiency in truck mileage tax against the petitioner.

DATED: Albany, New York September 24, 1974 STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESTDENT

COMMISSIONER

Commissioner Koerner dissents from the above determination on the grounds that the petitioner is not a farmer, but a dealer in cattle.

DATED: Albany, New York

COMMISSIONER